UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

KALVIN WILLIAMS,)	
)	
	Plaintiff,)	No.
)	
vs.)	Judge
)	Magistrate Judge
COOK COUNTY SHER	IFF THOMAS DA	RT,)	
COOK COUNTY,)	
JOHN DOES 1 - 5,)	
)	Jury Demand
	Defendants.)	-

COMPLAINT

- 1. This is an action for money damages brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and the common law and statutes of the State of Illinois.
- 2. Jurisdiction for Plaintiff's federal claims is based on 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a). Jurisdiction for Plaintiff's state claims is based on supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the claims arose in this district as alleged below.

Parties

- 4. Plaintiff is a resident of Chicago.
- 5. On July 19, 2012, Plaintiff was 17 years old.
- 6. John Does 1-5 are currently unnamed and unknown Cook County Sheriff officers.
- 7. At all times relevant to this Complaint, John Does 1-5 (hereinafter Defendant-Officers) were duly appointed and sworn officers for the Cook County Sheriff.
- 8. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant-Officers were acting in the course and scope of their employment, and under color of state law, ordinance and/or regulation.
- 9. Defendant Sheriff Thomas Dart is the employer and principal of the Defendant-Officers.
- 10. Defendant Sheriff Thomas Dart is sued in his official capacity and for the purposes of discovery of the identity of the Defendant-Officer John Does.

Facts

- 11. On or about July 19, 2012, Kalvin Williams appeared in Cook County Juvenile Court.
- 12. After appearing before the judge, Plaintiff was brought back to the holding area in the custody of the Defendant-Officers.
 - 13. Plaintiff was in handcuffs at the time.
- 14. While in handcuffs and in the custody of the Defendant-Officers, one or more of the Defendant-Officers attacked Plaintiff and struck him in the face.
 - 15. Plaintiff did not assault, batter or resist the Defendant-Officers.
 - 16. Defendant-Officers' use of force was unreasonable and excessive.
 - 17. A supervising officer arrived and took pictures of Plaintiff's injuries.
 - 18. Plaintiff was taken to Stroger Hospital for treatment of his injuries.
 - 19. Plaintiff's medical treatment included stitches in his lip.
- 20. Defendant-Officers and other unknown Cook County officers made out false and incomplete reports and gave a false and incomplete version of the event to other officers investigating the incident in order to cover up their own misconduct.
- 21. Each individual Defendant-Officer personally participated in the unlawful conduct, and acted jointly and in concert with the other Defendants who participated or acquiesced in the unlawful conduct.
- 22. Each individual Defendant-Officer knew of and condoned the unlawful conduct, and/or failed to intervene to stop other law enforcement officers from engaging in the unlawful conduct.
- 23. Each individual Defendant-Officer acted willfully and wantonly, maliciously, and with a conscious disregard and deliberate indifference to Plaintiff's rights.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of the acts of the Defendant-Officers described above, Plaintiff suffered damages including physical pain and suffering, emotional distress, and pecuniary damages including medical expenses.

COUNT I (42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Excessive Force)

25. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 24 as if fully set forth herein.

26. The Defendant-Officers violated Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment right, as guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment, to be free from the use of excessive and unreasonable force.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff asks that this Honorable Court:

- a) Enter judgment against Defendant-Officers,
- b) Award Plaintiff compensatory and punitive damages,
- c) Award attorneys' fees and costs, and
- d) Award any further relief that this Honorable Court deems just and equitable.

COUNT II

(Indemnification Claim pursuant to 745 ILCS 10/9-102)

- 27. The acts of the Defendant-Officers described in the above claims were willful and wanton, and committed in the scope of employment.
- 28. Pursuant to the Illinois Tort Immunity Act, 745 ILCS 10/9-102, Cook County is liable for any judgments for compensatory damages in this case arising from the Defendant-Officers' actions.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff asks that this Honorable Court order Defendant Cook County to indemnify the Defendant-Officers for any judgment for compensatory damages in this case arising from their actions.

Jury Trial Demanded

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lawrence V. Jackowiak
Counsel for Plaintiff

<u>/s/ Amanda S. Yarusso</u> <u>Counsel for Plaintiff</u>

Lawrence V. Jackowiak Amanda S. Yarusso Jackowiak Law Offices 20 North Clark Street, Suite 1700 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 795-9595